

## Antifa's Intellectual Nakedness Underneath its Black Garb

"Beware of false prophets, who come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly are ravenous wolves." (Jesus in Matthew 7:15)

The Daily American newspaper  
Somerset, Pennsylvania

Dear Editor,

As a Christian, I obligate myself to a religious code (the revelation of God in the Holy Bible) which Antifa by contrast effectively dismisses. Yet there is also another moral standard that isn't grounded on "revealed religion," which nevertheless renders Antifa inescapably liable to judgment on rational grounds. It is known as the "Golden Rule" (treat others as you would have them treat you). Failure to keep this principle commits the rational fallacy known as "the double-standard (DS)," which entails foisting one standard onto others which the imposers will not apply to themselves. It is among the most pervasive ways that people do wrong to others. Although I hold that God will highlight this ploy as one of his grounds for judging people (Rom. 2:1-3), the additional problem Antifa faces is that, even though independent of sacred texts, the DS fallacy exposes the commission of this offense as sheer hypocrisy.

"Antifa," means "anti-fascist." Yet when measured against the Merriam-Webster Dictionary definition of "fascism," our country, although far from perfect, is not fascist but to the contrary, is deemed by people all over the world as a champion of individual liberties. So, despite Antifa's official claims, they are merely exploiting their name as a smokescreen in order to destroy our society. In this hypocritical act, mainstream journalists are also culpable by their enabling that deception. Why, for example, do they fixate on nitpicking every "error" of our President, while altogether failing to shine a spotlight on the destruction that Antifa leaves everywhere in its wake?

It is to the contrary Antifa who enact fascist goals, as is borne out by their demonstrated habit of demanding surrender to their view under threat of an array of harmful out-comes. Indeed, Antifa proves by their track record broadcast on TV) that they care for no other people groups, even blacks. This puts the lie to their professed alliance with the "Black Lives Matter" movement (**BLM**). For one thing, the latter slogan contradicts Martin Luther King Jr's (**MLKJ**) "dream" of the day his children would "*be judged not by the color of their skin, but the content of their character.*" It also contradicts the methods Nelson Mandela employed in *successfully* bringing blacks and whites together into a united South Africa, as enacted in the historically accurate movie, *Invictus*.

This reality, however, does not exonerate BLM altogether. For one thing, the slogan contradicts Martin Luther King Jr's (**MLKJ**) "dream" of the day his children will "*be judged not by the color of their skin, but the content of their character.*" It also contradicts the methods that Nelson Mandela *successfully* employed in bringing blacks and whites together into a united South Africa, as enacted in the historically enacted movie, *Invictus*. So, it is instead the slogan, "All Lives Matter," that alone unites blacks with all others as co-equals in privileges legal and social. It is Antifa, to the contrary, who has bloodied their hands by their brazen murder of black leaders and business owners, together with their extensive destruction of neighborhoods across numerous cities, the majority of victims being blacks. So, I repeat,

the enablers to this carnage including most main-stream journalists who are chronically silent on the documentable contradictions between the tenets, on the one hand, and the actual terror wrought by Antifa. This reality contrasts MLKJ's "dream" (above) with the agenda of Antifa which, granting its overture in the present, will surely climax into a nightmare.

Sincerely,  
Gary Jensen, Berlin

Further Reflection (following my letter's publication)

Upon further consideration, I now resolutely renounce my approval of the "Black Lives Matter" movement, not as if Blacks don't matter; they most certainly do, just the same as every other person. I withdraw my approval for the reason that BLM's official agenda amounts to a direct assault on our societal structure. **I do not ask you to take my word for it.** Instead go to their official website ([www.blacklivesmatter.com](http://www.blacklivesmatter.com)) to the section "What We Believe." Two matters there are particularly troubling. According to a recent ACT Rochester survey, at the same time that 75% of black families are fatherless (Hispanic families, 58%, White families 37%, Asian families 21%), BLM officially states, "*We disrupt the Western-prescribed nuclear family structure requirement...*" With respect to sexual identity BLM states, "*We are reflexive and do the work required to dismantle cisgender privilege and uplift Black trans folk...*" Please notice then that this statement isn't so much an appeal to protect certain groups of people from persecution, as it is to overthrow social structures that are not only meaningful, but also efficacious to the vast majority of Americans.

Gary Jensen, Pastor, © February 1, 2021  
Holy Trinity Lutheran Church (NALC), Berlin, PA, USA  
[Gjensen549@gmail.com](mailto:Gjensen549@gmail.com), Christianityontheoffense.com, offensivechristianity.blogspot.com