## Leftism's Claim to be Champions of Science is a Gigantic Fraud

Leftism¹ by any stripe rejects obligation to religious tenets as their authority, even in principle. Rather it denigrates both "sacred" doctrines and philosophy² to a lesser degree, in deference instead to "hard" factual conclusions that they assert follow from *scientific* research. Since this is the standard upon which Leftism claims its certitude, it is fitting to scrutinize its degree of loyalty to both scientific methodology and the data that it yields with respect to specifically the Democrat Party's "values and conduct." So the question is, do Leftists obey the same scientific principles they impose onto others? The body of analytic criteria and principles which apply across the *entire* scientific enterprise include:

- 1. The primacy of the philosophical First Principle of the "Law of Non-Contradiction."<sup>3</sup>
- 2. The universality<sup>4</sup> of truth across every context. Since truth is one, it is to be applied uniformly.
- 3. The necessity to seek, assemble, and consider *every* piece of relevant scientific evidence.
- 4. The necessity to test each hypothesis under consideration against *all* known scientific facts.
- 5. The wisdom to evaluate the distinction between empirical data and philosophical conjecture.
- 6. The biblical and rational demand to seek truth<sup>5</sup> and consequently, to follow it where it leads.<sup>6</sup>

Though this list is not exhaustive, its' criteria are sufficient to expose the **utter nakedness** of the Leftist's empty claim to sincere adherence to the parameters entailed in *scientific* principles.

- Point 1: The first First-Principle holds that "contradictory truths cannot both be true in the same way and at the same time." Leftism denies this by, for example, insisting both that, for example, biological males who identify as females be deemed as such, despite their genes and anatomy, and that a fetus is part of the woman's body, regardless of blood-type, anatomy, and genes.
- Pt. 2: The rules of validation that the Left exploits for themselves, they deny to Conservatives.
- Pt. 3: principles have been violated in two ways. This Presidential campaign, Biden-aligned news-feeds **censored videos** which connected the nightly-riots to Democrat leadership, which, if shown, could have thwarted Biden-supporters from voting **prejudicially**. In regard to Covid-19, they also shut out alternative views held by **equally-qualified-scientists** from being heard.
- Pt. 4: Leftists violated this principle by staunchly refusing to scrutinize both the qualifications of their candidates and the glaring dishonesty of their platform, in that they never unveiled it.
- Pt. 5: In a naked attempt to retain their materialistic postures, so-inclined "scientists" ignore empirical facts that validate the Big Bang, by fixating instead on non-testable speculation.<sup>8</sup>
- Pt. 6: In a Court of Law, people "swear to tell the truth; the whole truth, and nothing but the truth." Willful departure from this standard with the examples I cited above, undermines that very foundation which is necessary for the public to trust the "science" that Leftism distorts. Worse still, they also refuse to critique their own positions in light of the above principles.

Rev. Gary Jensen, December 1, 2020

Holy Trinity Lutheran Church (NALC), Berlin, PA, USA

I earned both an M.Div. degree from Luther Seminary and an MA degree with Honors in Science and Religion" from BIOLA University

<sup>1</sup> use the term "leftism" as a broad blanket term to cover a worldview which contrasts with conservativism on the sociological spectrum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding.davidhume.org/text/e/E1234SBN165. \*\* Stephen Hawking began his book, <u>The Grand Design</u> by stating that "Philosophy is dead." Hawking and Leonard Mlodinow. (Bantam, 2012), p.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Without it there can be no science. J.P. Moreland. Christianity and the Nature of Science: A Philosophical Investigation. (Baker, 1989), p. 118.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Universal Truths. https://www.nature.com/articles/472136a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Unless it is held that scientists are dealing with truth in regard to *physical* reality, there is no intellectual motive to research nature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Plato. The Republic. (Penguin, 1966), no. 394, p. 133.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Renford Bambrough, ed. The Philosophy of Aristotle. *Ethics*, (Mentor, 1963), bk. III, pp.323,4.

<sup>8</sup> Astrophysicist Dr. Hugh Ross. The Creator and the Cosmos: How the Latest Scientific Discoveries Reveal God. (RTB, 2018), pp. 85-107.